## MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

## **GENERAL INFORMATION**

## **Requestor Name and Address**

MOTHER FRANCIS HOSPITAL WINNSBORO 3255 WEST PIONEER PARKWAY ARLINGTON TX 76013

#### **Respondent Name**

AMERICAN ZURICH INSURANCE CO

# **Carrier's Austin Representative Box**

Box Number 19

## **MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-12-1820-01

## REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "HRA has been hired by MFH WINNSBORO to audit their Workers Compensation claims. We have found in this audit they have not paid what we determine to be 'fair and reasonable' amount for this hospital charges. Per the applicable Texas fee schedule the correct allowable would be: Code DRG-923; Charge \$2,484.99; APC fee allowed \$7,053.66; Your Payment \$2,484.99; Balance Due \$4,568.67"

Amount in Dispute: \$4,568.67

## RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The requestor billed \$2484.99 for the services rendered, and the carrier reimbursed the requestor for the full billed amount (\$2484.99). The requestor now submits it is entitled to reimbursement that is almost three times the billed amount. The carrier submits the reimbursement provided is consistent with the applicable fee guidelines and the Division rules. No additional reimbursement is due at this time."

Response Submitted by: Flahive Ogden & Latson, Attorneys at Law, P. O. Drawer 201329, Austin, TX 78720 54481

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
August 5, 2011 To August 6, 2011	Inpatient Hospital Surgical Services	\$4,568.67	\$4,568.67

## FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

## **Background**

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving a medical fee dispute.

- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404 sets out the guidelines for reimbursement of hospital facility fees for inpatient services.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(e) states that: "Except as provided in subsection (h) of this section, regardless of billed amount, reimbursement shall be:
  - (1) the amount for the service that is included in a specific fee schedule set in a contract that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011; or
  - (2) if no contracted fee schedule exists that complies with Labor Code §413.011, the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount under subsection (f) of this section, including any applicable outlier payment amounts and reimbursement for implantables."
  - (3) If no contracted fee schedule exists that complies with Labor Code §413.011, and an amount cannot be determined by application of the formula to calculate the MAR as outlined in subsection (f) of this section, reimbursement shall be determined in accordance with §134.1 of this title (relating to Medical Reimbursement).
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f) states that "The reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register. The following minimal modifications shall be applied.
  - (1) The sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by:
    - (A) 143 percent; unless
    - (B) a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement in accordance with subsection (g) of this section, in which case the facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 108 percent."
- 5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits dated August 31, 2011

No denial reason codes listed on explanation of benefits

Explanation of benefits dated September 1, 2011

- 18 DUPLICATE CLAIM/SERVICE.
- 224 DUPLICATE CHARGE.

#### Issues

- 1. Did the carrier submit reimbursement consistent with the applicable fee guideline?
- 2. Can the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount for the disputed services be determined according to 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f)?
- 3. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services?

## **Findings**

- 1. The respondent alleges that the requestor was reimbursed the full amount billed, therefore, no additional reimbursement is due. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(e) specifically states that: "Except as provided in subsection (h) of this section, regardless of billed amount, reimbursement shall be: (1) the amount for the service that is included in a specific fee schedule set in a contract that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011; or (2) if no contracted fee schedule exists that complies with Labor Code §413.011, the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount under subsection (f) of this section, including any applicable outlier payment amounts and reimbursement for implantables." 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(e) does not allow reimbursement to be based on "lesser of", therefore, the respondent does not have the ability to reduce charges to the requestor's billed amount. The Division concludes that the respondent did not reimburse the requestor in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(e).
- 2. Review of the submitted documentation finds that the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount for the disputed services can be determined according to 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f).
- 3. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated in accordance with 28 TAC §134.404(f)(1)(A) as follows: The Medicare facility-specific reimbursement amount including outlier payment amount for DRG 923 is \$4,932.63. This amount multiplied by 143% is \$7,053.66. The total maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) is therefore \$7,053.66. The respondent previously paid \$2,484.99, therefore an additional amount of \$4,568.67 is recommended for payment.

## **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$4,568.67.

#### **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$4,568.67 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

<u>Authorized Signature</u>		
		March 6, 2012
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

## YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.